Comparative Responses to AIDS in Africa

Instructor: Kim Yi Dionne  
Office: 3165 Bunche Hall  
Mailbox: 4284 Bunche Hall  
Email: kimg@ucla.edu

Overview
Tough sub-Saharan Africa has only 10% of the world's population, it is home to 68% of all people living with HIV and AIDS. The AIDS pandemic is hitting Africa the hardest and poses a serious challenge to local governments. International organizations and donor governments have responded with compassion, generously supporting humanitarian interventions to prevent the spread of HIV and to mitigate the effects of AIDS in severely resource-constrained countries suffering from a generalized epidemic. At the same time, cross-national data show Africans living amidst the AIDS pandemic have weak demand for increased HIV/AIDS services.

Some of the questions we will consider in this course include:
• Upon whom does the success of any HIV intervention depend? What are the motivations of these various actors? How do they interact with other actors?
• When there is a misalignment of priorities, whose preferences take precedence in an intervention: those of international donors, or ordinary citizens? Does the HIV/AIDS intervention in Africa simply demonstrate the power of donors and the weakness of citizens?

This seminar aims to impart a working knowledge of applying approaches developed in the study of political economy to analysis of global health and development interventions. The focus will be on analyses of local implementations, providing students with an opportunity to produce cutting-edge research in an area currently focused on international actors.

Objectives
By the end of this course, students should be able to:
1. Apply political economy approaches to public policy problems;
2. Critically analyze the public policy problems and solutions about which we care deeply;
3. Appreciate the local and global context and constraints of health and development interventions;
4. Draw an argument from a text, consider its implications, and develop a critical response; and
5. Conduct original research, craft an argument, and clearly communicate a thesis in written form.
Requirements
Seminars are only successful when students attend after having critically read the assigned readings, and then actively debate ideas presented in the readings and/or present new ideas to be pursued. As such, students are expected to: (1) read the material before seminar; (2) attend every seminar; and (3) participate both online and in person to discussion of course material.

Students will be required to generate two posts from each week’s readings to the online discussion forum 24 hours before the seminar meets; write what you find interesting, surprising, or strange and why in the week’s readings. Bring readings with you to seminar and be able to succinctly state each author’s thesis statement as well as your critique of their work. Each student will select one article from the syllabus to “discuss” in class; acting as a discussant on a scholarly article will ably prepare students to subsequently author research papers that are clearly written, use transparent methods of analysis, and consider alternative hypotheses.

A main objective of the seminar is to impart the analytical and organizational skills necessary to author an original research paper. Guided by the instructor and your colleagues, you will draft and re-draft a term paper critically analyzing either (1) state response to the AIDS epidemic; or (2) non-state response to the AIDS epidemic. The unit of analysis should be a developing country, though multi-country studies or sub-national studies will be acceptable pending prior approval from the instructor. Topic selection must be submitted to the instructor by the end of Week 3. A thesis statement and outline must be submitted by the end of Week 5. Students are encouraged to schedule an appointment with the instructor during Week 6 to solicit feedback and strategize the way forward. A first draft will be posted for peer and instructor review by the start of Week 9. The term paper should be 12-15 pages in length (12 pt font, 1-inch margins) and will be due during Finals Week.

Grading
Grades for this course will be calculated from: online responses to weekly readings, the discussant assignment, successive tasks assigned to prepare you for the final paper, and the final paper. You should actively engage the readings such that you can synthesize an author’s thesis statement and critically evaluate it; post your reflections to the course web site 24 hours before seminar and communicate your own ideas about the topic during seminar. All assignments must be turned in on time, rare exceptions only made for documented illness. All assignments will be read for writing style and content, including online posts; please write accordingly and refrain from use of net slang. To achieve high marks on your final paper, seek and incorporate feedback from your colleagues and instructor, and heed the advice of Elements of a Good Term Paper at the close of this syllabus.

The grades will be itemized as such:
Weekly Online Posts: 25%
Discussant Assignment: 15%
Thesis Statement and Outline: 5%
First Draft of Term Paper: 10%
Peer Review of Colleague’s First Draft: 5%
Final Draft of Term Paper: 40%

Readings
The course involves a considerable amount of reading, the completion of which is essential to engaging the material with your colleagues during seminar. Because of the specialized nature of the course, there is no textbook that could cover all the necessary topic areas. All required readings will be posted securely to the course web site at the start of the term.


Academic Integrity
“As specified by University policy, violations or attempted violations of academic dishonesty include, but are not limited to: cheating, fabrication, plagiarism, multiple submissions, or facilitating academic dishonesty.” UCLA Student Guide to Academic Integrity, Office of the Dean of Students
All of the written work you submit for this course is expected to be your own. Given the nature of the seminar, I do not expect students who tend to take characterless shortcuts would enroll in the course. Nonetheless, to ensure everyone understands what constitutes plagiarism, students are required to complete the tutorial at [http://www.library.ucla.edu/bruinsuccess](http://www.library.ucla.edu/bruinsuccess). Click on the “Citing and Documenting Sources” link. Take the quiz at the end of the tutorial and email your grade to me at kim@ucla.edu before the close of Week 2.
Any suspected violation of UCLA’s integrity standards will be reported to the Office of the Dean of Students.

Unique Educational Needs
If you have a documented disability and wish to discuss academic accommodations, please contact me as soon as possible. If you suspect you have a learning disability and have not been tested for one, contact the UCLA Office for Students with Disabilities. Students whose native language is not English anxious that their language abilities may affect their fulfillment of the course requirements are encouraged to contact me as soon as possible.

Seminar Topics, Reading Assignments, Paper Assignment Dates

*Week 1: Epidemiology of HIV and HIV/AIDS in Africa*

Selected Article for Discussant Assignment

**Week 2: Local Experiences of HIV and AIDS**


Complete Bruin Success Tutorial on “Citing and Documenting Sources” and email results to: kimg@ucla.edu.

**Week 3: National Responses to HIV/AIDS, Part I: The State**


Proposed Research Topic due via Email to: kimg@ucla.edu.

**Week 4: National Responses to HIV/AIDS, Part II: The Ruler**


**Week 5: International Response**


Proposed Thesis Statement and Outline Due Via Email to: *kimg@ucla.edu*. 

In addition to the resources available at Covel Commons, I encourage you to consult [http://depts.washington.edu/pswrite/thesisstmt.html](http://depts.washington.edu/pswrite/thesisstmt.html) for tips on writing a thesis statement and [http://write.oid.ucla.edu/handouts/Organizing_Drafting.rtf](http://write.oid.ucla.edu/handouts/Organizing_Drafting.rtf) for tips on outlining.

**Week 6: National Response vs. Geneva Consensus**


Students are encouraged to make individual appointments during office hours to discuss research progress and to solicit feedback.

**Week 7: Local Response**


**Week 8: Assessing National Interventions**


**Week 9: Scaleable Clinical Interventions**


First draft of term paper to be posted on course web site. Download peer review assignment and bring printed copy to seminar for your colleague.
**Week 10: Scalable Behavioral Intervention**


**Finals Week**

Term paper due via email to: [kimg@ucla.edu](mailto:kimg@ucla.edu).

Elements of a Good Term Paper

- It asks an important question, i.e. “Why was Uganda so successful in fighting HIV?”; “Which interventions are more effective: organic or imported?” The question should be substantively interesting and relevant, for which there could be more than one plausible answer. The paper makes clear what the question is early on.
- The question it asks has a verifiable answer. Even within the constraints of a 10-week term, it is possible to find evidence to support one or another answer.
- The paper provides an answer to the question, i.e. “Because Uganda had a strong, benevolent dictator, its response to HIV was swift and effective. . . ”; “Intervention type determines whether organic or imported models will be more effective. . . ” The answer you provide should be stated clearly, and a synopsis should be presented early in the paper. A great way to start a research paper is with: “In this paper, I argue that . . . ”
- After stating the answer to the question, the paper will organize and present evidence for why that answer is correct, or at least, more correct than another answer. Evidence ranges from quantitative analysis of data (aka statistics), qualitative analysis of interview transcripts, policy documents, or news articles, and even secondary analysis of data provided in other published works.
- The paper is written well. If you don’t already own a copy, Strunk & White’s *Elements of Style* is a must-have.